1	Christopher M. Curran (pro hac vice)	
2	ccurran@whitecase.com	
3	Lucius B. Lau (<i>pro hac vice</i>) alau@whitecase.com	
4	Dana E. Foster (pro hac vice)	
5	defoster@whitecase.com White & Case LLP	
6	701 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	
7	Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 626-3600	
8	Facsimile: (202) 639-9355	
9	Counsel to Defendants Toshiba Corporation,	
10	Toshiba America, Inc., Toshiba America	
11	Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.	
12	•	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	(SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)	
15		٦
16	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	Case No. 07-5944 JST
17	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1917
18	This Document Relates to Case No. 13-cv-	
19	1173-JST (N.D. Cal.)	
20	SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION;	DEGLADATION OF LUCING D
21	SHARP ELECTORNICS	DECLARATION OF LUCIUS B. LAU IN SUPPORT OF TOSHIBA'S
22	MANUFACTURING COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
23	DI : ::cc	FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION TO DISMISS SHARP'S SECOND
24	Plaintiffs,	AMENDED COMPLAINT BASED
25	v.	ON A FORUM-SELECTION CLAUSE
26	HITACHI, LTD., et al.,	
27	Defendants.	
28		_

DECLARATION OF LUCIUS B. LAU IN SUPPORT OF TOSHIBA'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE MOTION TO DISMISS SHARP'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT BASED ON A FORUM-SELECTION CLAUSE Case No. 07-5944-JST, MDL No. 1917 I, Lucius B. Lau, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of White & Case LLP, counsel for Defendants Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America, Inc., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. (collectively, the "Toshiba Defendants").
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Toshiba's Administrative Motion for Leave to File Motion to Dismiss Sharp's Second Amended Complaint Based on Based on a Forum-Selection Clause. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and I could and would competently testify thereto if called as a witness.
- 3. On April 8, 2016, pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11(a), I had a telephonic meet and confer with Craig Benson, counsel for Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc. (collectively, "Sharp"). During that call, I explained to Mr. Benson that, on April 12, 2016, the Toshiba Defendants intended to file a motion with the Court seeking leave to file a motion that would seek the dismissal of Sharp's remaining claim against the Toshiba Defendants (*i.e.*, the joint-and-several claim). I further explained that, in ruling on such a motion, the Court might have to reconsider some of the conclusions made by Judge Conti, but that the governing caselaw permitted such a result.
- 4. On April 12, 2016, I received an email from Mr. Benson. In that email, Mr. Benson stated that the Sharp Plaintiffs would not agree to any reconsideration of Judge Conti's ruling with respect to joint-and-several liability.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 12th day of April, 2016, in Washington, D.C.

Lucius B. Lau